



**DMM v OMM (Divorce Cause E1214 of 2021)  
[2023] KEMC 235 (KLR) (21 August 2023) (Judgment)**

Neutral citation: [2023] KEMC 235 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA  
IN THE MILIMANI COMMERCIAL CHIEF MAGISTRATE'S COURTS  
DIVORCE CAUSE E1214 OF 2021  
JP ADUKE, SRM  
AUGUST 21, 2023**

**BETWEEN**

**DMM ..... PETITIONER**

**AND**

**OMM ..... RESPONDENT**

**JUDGMENT**

1. The Petitioner filed the petition dated 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021 seeking a divorce on the grounds of desertion and irretrievable breakdown of the marriage. Return of service on record shows that the respondent was served with petition and annexures hereto. The respondent neither entered appearance nor filed a response. The petitioner filed an application seeking to have the divorce cause proceed undefended. Return of service on record shows that the respondent was served with the said application. These proceedings proceeded undefended.
2. The brief facts of the case are as contained in the petition on record. In summary, DMM and OMM solemnized their marriage on 07<sup>th</sup> September 2005 at the Registrar's Office in Nairobi. They have one issue together from the union. Both the petitioner and respondent are domiciled in Kenya. The parties have no intentions of salvaging this marriage. The particulars of the grounds for divorce are outlined in the petition as follows:
  1. Desertion;
  2. Irretrievable breakdown of the marriage;
3. At the hearing thereof, the petitioner relied on the petition and pleadings on record as evidence in support of the petition for divorce. Notably, the petitioner averred that the contents of the petition remained true as at the date of the hearing. The petitioner prayed for an order of dissolution of the marriage and for any other relief granted by the court.



4. The issue for determination before this court is whether or not the marriage between the parties merits an order of divorce under The Marriage Act, 2014 (hereafter, the Act).
5. I have considered the particulars of the grounds outlined in the petition and how those have contributed to the irretrievable breakdown of the marriage as contemplated under s.66 of the Act. I have also noted the length of physical separation of the couple herein, and relied on the reasoning of the court in JSM VS ENB[2015] eKLR. With respect to intention to salvage the union, I have noted the unequivocal terms of the petition on lack of such intention. The reasoning of the court in ROK v MJB[2017] eKLR and TPH v NVS [2017] eKLR cannot be emphasized enough-“marriage is a voluntary union.....this court cannot by any means order or compel the parties to remain married when the petitioner has categorically stated that she wants the same dissolved...”
6. Seeing as this court cannot force two adults to live together when there is no more love between them, I allow the petition dated 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021 before me on the following terms:
  1. The marriage between the two be and is hereby dissolved.
  2. Decree nisi do issue to be made absolute in 30 days.
  3. This being a family matter, each party to bear own costs.

**ADUKE JEAL PRAXADES ATIENO**

**SENIOR RESIDENT MAGISTRATE**

**JUDGEMENT DATED AND SIGNED THIS 21ST AUGUST 2023**

**In the presence of:**

1. Court Assistant Benjamin Kombe
2. Counsel for the Petitioner-
3. Counsel for the Respondent:

