



**JON v DKK (Divorce Cause E770 of 2021) [2023] KEMC 183 (KLR) (3 July 2023) (Judgment)**

Neutral citation: [2023] KEMC 183 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA  
IN THE MILIMANI COMMERCIAL CHIEF MAGISTRATE'S COURTS  
DIVORCE CAUSE E770 OF 2021**

**JP ADUKE, SRM**

**JULY 3, 2023**

**BETWEEN**

**JON ..... PETITIONER**

**AND**

**DKK ..... RESPONDENT**

**JUDGMENT**

- 1 The petitioner filed the petition dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2021 on grounds of adultery and irreconcilable differences. Return of service on record shows that the respondent was served with the petition and annexures thereto. The respondent entered appearance and filed a response. The petitioner filed an application seeking to have the petition proceed as a defended cause. Return of service on record shows the petitioner served the respondent with the said application. These proceedings proceeded defended.
- 2 The brief facts of the case are as contained in the petition and answer to petition on record. In summary, JON and DKK solemnized their marriage on 05<sup>th</sup> March 2016 at Jehovah Witnesses Church in Nairobi. Both parties are domiciled in Kenya. The particulars of the grounds for divorce are outlined in the petition as follows:
  1. Adultery;
  2. Irreconcilable differences;
- 3 At the hearing thereof, the petitioner relied on his petition and verifying affidavit on record as evidence in support of his petition for divorce. He prayed for orders of dissolution of marriage and that each party bear their own costs of the suit. The respondent equally testified and prayed for an order of divorce from court.
- 4 The issue for determination before this court is whether or not the marriage between the parties merits an order of divorce under The Marriage Act, 2014 (hereafter, the Act).



55 The applicable law is section 65 of the Act (on dissolution of Christian marriages) which provides that the court may grant separation or divorce on the following grounds:

1. Adultery by the other spouse
2. Cruelty by the other spouse
3. Exceptional depravity by the other spouse
4. Desertion by the other spouse for at least 3 years
5. The irretrievable breakdown of a marriage

6 I have considered the particulars of the grounds outlined in the petition and how those have contributed to the irretrievable breakdown of the marriage as contemplated in s.65 of the Act. I have also noted the length of physical separation of the couple herein and relied on the reasoning of the court in *JSM v ENB* [2015]. With respect to intention to salvage the union, I have noted the unequivocal terms of the petition and answer to petition on lack of such intention. The reasoning of the court in *ROK v MJB* [2017] eKLR and *TPH v NVS* [2017] eKLR cannot be emphasized enough-“marriage is a voluntary union.....this court cannot by any means order or compel the parties to remain married when the petitioner has categorically stated that she wants the same dissolved...” Seeing as this court cannot force two adults to live together when there is no more love between them, I allow the petition dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2021 on the following terms:

1. the marriage between the two be and is hereby dissolved.
2. Decree nisi do issue to be made absolute in 30 days.
3. This being a family matter, each party to bear their own costs.

**ADUKE JEAL PRAXADES ATIENO**

**SENIOR RESIDENT MAGISTRATE**

**JUDGEMENT SIGNED AT NAIROBI THIS 03<sup>RD</sup> JULY 2023 IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PARTIES AT 10.30AM.**

In the presence of :

Court Assistant: Benjamin Kombe

Counsel for Petitioner:

Counsel for Respondent:

