



REPUBLIC OF KENYA



KENYA LAW
THE NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR LAW REPORTING
Where Legal Information is Public Knowledge

SWW v JMM (Divorce Cause 150 of 2017) [2023] KEMC 117 (KLR) (10 May 2023) (Judgment)

Neutral citation: [2023] KEMC 117 (KLR)

REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE'S COURT (MILIMANI LAW COURTS)

DIVORCE CAUSE 150 OF 2017

JP ADUKE, SRM

MAY 10, 2023

BETWEEN

SWW PETITIONER

AND

JMM RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

1. The Petitioner filed the petition dated 27th February 2017 seeking a divorce on the grounds of irretrievable breakdown of the marriage and desertion. Return of service on record shows that the respondent was served with the petition and annexures thereto. The respondent neither entered appearance nor filed a response. The petitioner filed an application seeking to have the DC proceed undefended. Return of service on record shows the petitioner served the respondent with the said application. These proceedings proceeded undefended.
2. The brief facts of the case are as contained in para 1-9 of the petition on record. In summary, SWW and JMM solemnized their marriage on 4th Sept 1998 in Kenya. They have nil issues together from the union as at the date of conclusion of the hearing before this court. Both of them are domiciled in Kenya. The parties have no intentions of salvaging this marriage. The particulars of the grounds for divorce are outlined in the petition as follows:
 1. Irretrievable breakdown of the marriage;
 2. Desertion;
3. At the hearing thereof, the petitioner relied on her petition and verifying affidavit on record as evidence in support of the petition for divorce. Notably, the petitioner averred that the contents of the petition remained true as at the date of the hearing. She prayed for an order of dissolution of the marriage.
4. The issue for determination before this court is whether or not the marriage between the parties merits an order of divorce under The *Marriage Act*, 2014 (hereafter, the Act).



5. The applicable law is s.66 (dissolution of Civil Marriages) of the Act which provides that the court may grant separation or divorce on the following grounds:
 1. adultery by the other spouse;
 2. cruelty by the other spouse;
 3. exceptional depravity by the other spouse;
 4. desertion by the other spouse for at least 3 years;
 5. the irretrievable breakdown of the marriage.
6. I have considered the particulars of the grounds outlined in the petition and how those have contributed to the irretrievable breakdown of the marriage as contemplated under s.66 of the Act. I have also noted the length of physical separation of the couple herein, and relied on the reasoning of the court in JSM vs ENB[2015]. With respect to intention to salvage the union, I have noted the unequivocal terms of para 8 of the Petition on lack of such intention. The reasoning of the court in ROK v MJB and TPH v NVS 2017 eKLR cannot be emphasized enough-“marriage is a voluntary union.....this court cannot by any means order or compel the parties to remain married when the petitioner has categorically stated that she wants the same dissolved...”
7. Seeing as this court cannot force two adults to live together when there is no more love between them, I allow the petition dated 27th February 2017 on the following terms:
 1. The marriage between the two be and is hereby dissolved.
 2. *Decree nisi* do issue to be made absolute in 30 days.
 3. This being a family matter, each party to bear own costs.

ADUKE JEAL PRAXADES ATIENO

SENIOR RESIDENT MAGISTRATE

JUDGEMENT DATED AND SIGNED THIS 10TH MAY 2023.

In the presence of :

1. Court Assistant: Benjamin Kombe
2. Counsel for the Petitioner-
3. Counsel for the Respondent:

