



**Republic v Njogu (Criminal Case E001 of 2022)
[2023] KEHC 19703 (KLR) (3 July 2023) (Ruling)**

Neutral citation: [2023] KEHC 19703 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE HIGH COURT AT EMBU
CRIMINAL CASE E001 OF 2022
LM NJUGUNA, J
JULY 3, 2023**

BETWEEN

REPUBLIC PROSECUTION

AND

STEPHEN MUNYI NJOGU ACCUSED

RULING

1. The accused person herein was charged with the offence of murder contrary to Section 203 as read with section 204 of the *Penal Code*. The particulars of the offence being that on 9/01/2022 at Embu College Area of Embu Municipality in Embu West Sub County, within Embu County, murdered Johnstone Mwangi Waruinge. Upon arraignment in court, he pleaded not guilty and a plea of not guilty was entered.
2. The case proceeded for trial and wherein the prosecution called thirteen (13) witnesses in support of its case, after which it closed its case.
3. Under section 306 of the *Criminal Procedure Code* (cap. 75 Laws of Kenya), this court has a duty, upon close of the prosecution’s case, to make a ruling or a decision on whether an accused person has a case to answer or not. Under section 306(1), when the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution has been concluded and the court is of the opinion that there is no evidence that the accused person committed the offence should, after hearing, if necessary, any arguments which the advocate for the prosecution or the defence may desire to submit, record a finding of not guilty.
4. Under Section 306(2) on the other hand, when the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution has been concluded and the court is of the opinion that there is evidence that the accused person committed the offence, the court should proceed to put the accused to her defence and whereby the accused is supposed to present evidence in his defence.



5. As such, at this stage, this court’s role is to consider the evidence on record and make a determination as to whether the same presents a prima facie case that would warrant this court to call upon the accused person to give his defence. Under Section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a prima facie case is established where the evidence tendered by the prosecution is sufficient on its own for a court to return a guilty verdict if no other explanation in rebuttal is offered by an accused person. [See *Ramanlal Trambaklal Bhatt Vs R* [1957] E.A 332 at 334 and 335].
6. However, it is trite that, where the court is not acquitting the accused person at the close of prosecutions’ case, there is no need for a reasoned ruling for a case to answer. Reasons should only be given where the submissions of a no case to answer by the accused is upheld and the accused is to be acquitted. (See *Festo Wandera Mukando Vs Republic* [1980] KLR 103).
7. I have considered the evidence tendered by the prosecution in this matter as required of this court and from the entirety of the evidence, it is my view that the prosecution has made up a prima facie case against the accused person. He therefore has a case to answer and is put on his defence.
8. It is so ordered.

DELIVERED, DATED AND SIGNED AT EMBU THIS 3RD DAY OF JULY, 2023.

L. NJUGUNA

JUDGE

.....for the Accused

.....for the State

