



**Ngaywa & Kibet Partners Advocates v Monarch Insurance Ltd (Miscellaneous Application E122 of 2023) [2025] KEHC 8920 (KLR) (20 June 2025) (Ruling)**

Neutral citation: [2025] KEHC 8920 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA  
IN THE HIGH COURT AT KIAMBU  
MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION E122 OF 2023**

**A MSHILA, J**

**JUNE 20, 2025**

**BETWEEN**

**NGAYWA & KIBET PARTNERS ADVOCATES ..... APPLICANT**

**AND**

**THE MONARCH INSURANCE LTD ..... RESPONDENT**

**RULING**

**Background**

1. Before Court is the Notice of Motion dated 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2024 and brought under Section 51(2) of the [Advocates Act](#) (CAP 16). The Applicant sought for the following orders:-
  - a. That Judgment be entered in favor of the Applicant/Advocate against the Respondent for the sum of Kshs.205,034/- being the certified costs due to the Applicant.
  - b. That the Respondent do pay the Applicant the costs of this application together with interest.
2. The application is premised on the grounds on the face of the application and the Supporting Affidavit of Moses Ngaywa dated 15/08/2024 made in support of the application; therein he states that the Respondent instructed the firm of Ngaywa & Kibet Partners LLP to act for him in Kiambu Chief Magistrates Court in Civil Case No. 176 of 2019.
3. The Respondent failed to pay the legal fees thereby necessitating the filing of the Bill of Costs which was taxed on 24/06/2024 in the sum of Kshs.205,034/- and a Certificate of Taxation was issued on 23/07/2024 which sum the Respondent has failed and/or neglected to settle.
4. The instant application was uncontested as the Respondent failed and or neglected to file any response despite service having been effected.



5. The Applicant prayed that judgment be entered as prayed for the sum of Kshs.205,034/- together with interest thereon. The Applicant also prayed for costs of the application.

**Issues for Determination.**

6. Having considered the application and the supporting affidavit the issues framed for determination are;
- i. Whether the application is merited for the court to adopt the Certificate of Taxation and enter judgment in the sum of Kshs.205,034/- and;
  - ii. Whether interest is applicable thereon.

**Analysis.**

7. Section 51(2) of the *Advocates Act* provides:-

“the certificate of the taxing officer by whom any bill has been taxed shall unless it is set aside or altered by the court, be final as to the amount of the costs recovered thereby; and the court may make such orders in relation thereto as it thinks fit, including where the retainer is not disputed an order that judgment be entered for the sum of certified to be due with costs.”

8. The wordings of the above section empower the court to enter judgment on the taxed amount if the same is uncontested.
9. In determining whether the court should adopt the amount on the Certificate of Taxation as the judgment of the court it should be satisfied that the certificate of taxation has not been set aside.
10. Reference is made to the case of Lubulellah & Associates Advocates Vs N. K. Brothers Limited (2014) eKLR where the court observed that:-

“The law is very clear that once a taxing master has taxed the costs, issued a Certificate of Costs and there is no reference against his ruling or there has been a ruling and a determination made and not set aside and/or altered, no other action would be required from the court save to enter judgment. An applicant is not required to file suit for the recovery of costs. The certificate of costs is final as to the amounts of the costs and the court would be quite in order to enter judgment in favour of the Applicant against the Respondent herein for the taxed sum indicated in the Certificate of Taxation that was issued on 25<sup>th</sup> November 2012.”

11. From perusal of the court record this court is satisfied that the Ruling is uncontested as the Respondent has not moved any court by way of filing a Reference against the ruling nor has the Ruling been set aside, altered, varied and / or reviewed, nor has any appeal been filed.
12. Therefore, no other action is required from this court save to enter judgment as prayed against the Respondent.

**Whether interest is applicable thereon and payable.**

13. The Applicant seeks the court to grant interest on the sum of Kshs.205,034/- until payment in full.
14. Rule 7 of the Advocates Remuneration Order provides that:

“An advocate may charge interests at 14% per annum on his disbursement and costs whether by scale or otherwise, from the expiration of one month from the delivery of his bill to



the client, such claim for interests is raised before the amount of the bill has been paid or tendered in full.”

15. The above rule stipulates that such claim for interest must be raised for it to start to accrue after the expiration of one month from the delivery of the bill to the client.
16. In the case of *Kerongo & Company Advocates Vs Africa Assurance Merchant Co. Limited* [2019] eKLR the court held;

‘An advocate who does not provide proof that he had raised the issue of interest before the amount in the Bill of Costs has been paid or tendered in full will not be paid the interest chargeable under Rule 7 of the Advocates Remuneration Order. As the advocates herein had not demonstrated that they had raised the issue of interest as aforesaid, they could not therefore be awarded interest at fourteen (14%) per cent per annum.’
17. After careful perusal of the court record this court notes that the Applicant annexed a copy of the Demand Letter dated 30/07/2024 to the application; but nowhere is there a claim for interest raised in the Demand Letter or otherwise
18. Therefore, in line with Rule 7, the Applicant is found to have failed to furnish proof that it had raised the claim for interest with the Respondent; the prayer for interest to start accruing on the costs is found to be devoid of merit and is therefore disallowed.

#### **Findings & Determination.**

19. For the forgoing reasons this court makes the following findings and determinations: -
  - i. This Court finds the application to be partially meritorious and it is hereby partially allowed.
  - ii. The Certificate of Taxation issued on 23/07/2024 in the sum of Kshs.205,034/- and is hereby adopted as a Judgment of this court. Judgment be and is hereby entered in favour of the Applicant/Advocates in the sum of Kshs.205,034/.
  - iii. The prayer for interest is found to be devoid of merit and it is hereby disallowed.
  - iv. Each party to bear own their costs of this application.

Orders Accordingly.

**DATED SIGNED AND DELIVERED VIA TEAMS AT KIAMBU THIS 20<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2025.**

**HON. A. MSHILA**

**JUDGE**

In the presence of;

Sanja – Court Assistant

Ontegi – for Applicant

N/A by Respondent

