



REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA

AT KISUMU

(CORAM: CHERERE-J)

MISC CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 114 OF 2020

BETWEEN

THE BOG DR. ALOO GUMBI MIXED SEC. SCHOOL.....APPLICANT

VERSUS

JENIPHER AWINO MAGUNDA aka Jenipher Awino.....RESPONDENT

RULING

1. By a judgment dated 23.10.19, the trial court in **MASENO PMCC 230 OF 2013** entered judgment in favour of the Respondent as against the Applicant.

2. By notice of motion dated 08.06.2020 filed on 07.07.2020, the Applicant prays for orders that:

1) That the court be pleased to extend time limited for lodging an appeal

2) Costs be in the intended appeal

3. The application is based on the grounds among others that the Applicant is aggrieved by the judgment delivered on 13.02.19 and that there was delay in obtaining the certified copies of proceedings and copy of judgment required for filing an appeal.

4. The application is supported by the affidavit sworn on 08.06.2020 by LAWRENCE MACHUKA, the secretary of the Applicant in which he reiterates the grounds on the face of the application. Annexed to the affidavit is a copy of the impugned judgment and copy of draft memorandum of appeal.

5. The application is opposed by way of a replying affidavit sworn on 20.07.2020 by JANE AWINO MAGUNDA aka Jenipher Awino (***Respondent***). She avers that delay has not been explained and the application ought to be rejected.

Analysis and Determination

6. I have considered the affidavits on record and annexures thereto. The main issue for determination is whether the Applicant ought to be granted leave to appeal out of time.

7. The law on extension of time is to be found in Section 95 of the Act which states as follows:

“Where any period is fixed or granted by the court for the doing of any act prescribed or allowed by this Act, the court may, in its discretion, from time to time, enlarge such period, even though the period originally fixed or granted may have expired.”

8. Order 50 of the Civil Procedure Rules on the other hand states that:

“Where a limited time has been fixed for doing any act or taking any proceedings under these Rules, or by summary notice or by order of the court, the court shall have power to enlarge such time upon such terms (if any) as the justice of the case

may require, and such enlargement may be ordered although the application for the same is not made until after the expiration of the time appointed or allowed”.

9. Section 79G of the Civil Procedure Act Cap 21 Laws of Kenya states

that:

“Every appeal from a subordinate court to the High Court shall be filed within a period of thirty days from the date of the decree or order appealed against, excluding from such period anytime which the lower court may certify as having been requisite for the preparation and delivery to the appellant of a copy of the decree or order”.

10. The powers of the court in deciding an application for extension of time to file an appeal are discretionary and unfettered.

11. In the case of Eliud Buku Thuku v Beatrice Wambui Mwangi [2013] eKLR, the Court of Appeal reiterated the parameters for exercise of court’s discretion as set out in Mutiso v Mwangi [1997] KLR 630 as follows:

“It is now well settled that the decision whether or not to extend the time for appealing is essentially discretionary. It is also well settled that general the matters which this court takes into account in deciding whether to grant an extension of time are; first, the length of delay; secondly, the reason for the delay; thirdly (possibly) the chances of appeal succeeding if the application is granted; and fourthly, the degree of prejudice to the Respondent of the application is granted.”

12. As much as the discretion of the court is unfettered, an Applicant is obligated to present material upon which the court should exercise its discretion, or in other words, the factual basis for the invocation of the court’s discretion in his favor.

13. I have considered explanation for the delay advanced by the Applicant. The Applicant did not require the certified copies of proceedings and copy of judgment required for filing an appeal.

14. This court appreciates that it has the inherent discretion under Section 3A to make such orders as may be necessary for the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the court process. The court is also enjoined under Article 159(2) b of the Constitution to do justice without any delay.

15. The Court of Appeal in the case of Simon Thuo Mwangi v Unga Feeds Limited [2015] eKLR cited Esther Waimaitha Njihia & Others Vs. Safaricom Ltd (2014) eKLR with approval and reiterated that:

“The exercise of judicial discretion is intended to avoid injustice or hardship resulting from accident, inadvertence or excusable mistake or error, but is not designed to assist a person who has deliberately sought, whether by evasion or otherwise to obstruct or delay the course of justice”. (Emphasis added).

16. From the material presented before the court, it is clear that the Applicant was well aware of the judgment delivered on 13.02.19 but only moved the court on 07.07.2020 which is 8 months after the time fixed for filing an appeal had lapsed

17. The foregoing notwithstanding, I find that the delay is overly inordinate and not explained to the satisfaction of the court.

18. In the end, notice of motion dated notice of motion dated 08.06.2020 filed on 07.07.2020 is found to be unmeritorious and is dismissed with costs to the Respondent.

DATED AT KISUMU ON THIS 23rd DAY OF October 2020

T.W. CHERERE

JUDGE

Court Assistants - Ms. Amondi/Ms. Okodoi

For Applicant - Mr. Mwamu for Mwamu & Co. Advocates

For Respondent - Mr. Okoth Geoffrey O. Okoth & Co. Advocates