



**Republic v Munjalu (Criminal Case 40 of 2017)
[2022] KEHC 11155 (KLR) (28 July 2022) (Ruling)**

Neutral citation: [2022] KEHC 11155 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE HIGH COURT AT KIAMBU
CRIMINAL CASE 40 OF 2017
MM KASANGO, J
JULY 28, 2022**

BETWEEN

REPUBLIC PROSECUTOR

AND

EDWARD MOI MUNJALU ACCUSED

RULING

1. Edward Moi Munjalu is charged with the offence of murder of William Kimani Mwangi deceased. He pleaded not guilty. The prosecution called 14 witnesses before closing its case.
2. The court, at this stage, is considering whether the accused has a case to answer. A case to answer was defined in the holding of the case *Republic vs. Joseph Shitandi & Another* (2014) eKLR as follows:-

“A case to answer is a case where if the accused keeps quiet, the evidence of the prosecution should be such that a conviction will result.”

3. The procedure in determination whether indeed, the accused has a case to answer was discussed in the case *Republic Vs. Stephen Chomba Kamau* (2021) eKLR thus:-

“*Republic -v- Samuel Karanja Kiria* (2009) eKLR Justice J.B Ojwang (as he then was) stated:-

“The question at this stage is not whether or not the accused is guilty as charged but whether there is cogent evidence of his connection with the circumstances in which killing of deceased occurred. That the concept of prima facie case dictates as a matter of law that an opportunity created by this court for the accused to state his own case regarding the killing. The governing law on this point is well settled ... The Court of Appeal in Criminal Appeal No. 77/2006 expressed that too detailed analysis of evidence stage at no case to answer stage is undesirable it



the court is going to put accused on his defence as too much details in the trial court's ruling could then compromise the evidentiary quality of the defence to be mounted.”

4. The evidence adduced by the prosecution suffices for the accused to be informed he has a case to answer. He is therefore informed he has a right to address the court as provided under Section 306(2) of the *Criminal Procedure Code*. Accordingly, the accused is informed that he has a right to address the court either personally or by his advocate or to give evidence on his own behalf or to make unsworn statement and to call witnesses in his defence. The accused is now requested to make his election.

RULING DATED AND DELIVERED AT KIAMBU THIS 28TH DAY OF JULY, 2022.

MARY KASANGO

JUDGE

Coram:

Court Assistant: Mourice

For DPP: Mr. Kasyoka

For Accused:-

Accused : Present

COURT

RULING delivered virtually.

MARY KASANGO

JUDGE

