



REPUBLIC OF KENYA



**Republic v Marckenzie (Criminal Case 11 of 2017)
[2022] KEHC 3130 (KLR) (28 April 2022) (Ruling)**

Neutral citation: [2022] KEHC 3130 (KLR)

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE HIGH COURT AT EMBU
CRIMINAL CASE 11 OF 2017
LM NJUGUNA, J
APRIL 28, 2022**

BETWEEN

REPUBLIC PROSECUTION

AND

FRANCIS MUSYOKI MARCKENZIE ACCUSED

RULING

1. The accused person herein was charged with the offence of murder contrary to Section 203 as read with Section 204 of the *Penal Code*. The particulars of the offence being that on June 17, 2017 at Gitaraka village in Karaba Location of Mbeere South Sub County within Embu County murdered Rose Koki. Upon arraignment in court, he pleaded not guilty and a plea of not guilty was entered.
2. The case proceeded for trial and wherein the prosecution called Eight (8) witnesses in support of its case; after which the prosecution closed its case.
3. Under section 306 of the *Criminal Procedure Code* Cap 75 Laws of Kenya, this court has a duty, upon close of the prosecution's case, to make a ruling or a decision on whether an accused person has a case to answer or not. Under section 306(1), when the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution has been concluded and the court is of the opinion that there is no evidence that the accused persons committed the offence should, after hearing, if necessary, any arguments which the advocate for the prosecution or the defence may desire to submit, record a finding of not guilty.
4. Under section 306(2) on the other hand, when the evidence of the witnesses for the prosecution has been concluded and the court is of the opinion that there is evidence that the accused person committed the offence, the court should proceed to put the accused to his defence and whereby the accused is supposed to present evidence in his defence.
5. As such, at this stage, this court's role is to consider the evidence on record and make a determination as to whether the same presents a prima facie case that would warrant this court to call upon the accused



person to give his defence. Under section 211 of the *Criminal Procedure Code*, a prima facie case is established where the evidence tendered by the prosecution is sufficient on its own for a court to return a guilty verdict if no other explanation in rebuttal is offered by an accused person. [See *Ramanlal Trambaklal Bhatt v R* [1957] EA 332 at 334 and 335]. However, it is trite that, where the court is not acquitting the accused person at the close of prosecutions' case, there is no need for a reasoned ruling for a case to answer. Reasons should only be given where the submissions of a no case to answer by the accused is upheld and the accused is to be acquitted. [See *Festo Wandera Mukando v Republic* [1980] KLR 103].

6. I have considered the evidence tendered by the prosecution in this matter as required of this court and from the entirety of the said evidence, it is my view that the prosecution has made up a prima facie case against the accused person. He therefore has a case to answer and is put on his defence.

7. It is so ordered.

DELIVERED, DATED AND SIGNED AT EMBU THIS 28TH DAY OF APRIL, 2022.

L. NJUGUNA

JUDGE

.....for the Accused

.....for the State

